

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,613	06/27/2003	Adrian E. Ong	24295/81352	2220	
7590 08/24/2004			EXAMINER		
Philip W. Woo			NGUYEN, TUNG X		
Sidley Austin B	rown & Wood LLP				
Suite 5000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
555 California Street			2829		
San Francisco, CA 94104-1715			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/608,61	3	ONG, ADRIAN E.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Tung X No		2829			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	•		
THE   - External content of the cont	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no evenu. In a reply within the statueriod will apply and wistatute, cause the appl	int, however, may a reply be tim story minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	ely filed  swill be considered timely. The mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 June 2003.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte</i> Q <i>u</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
•	)						
اکا(ہ	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction and	u/or election req	unement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
الــا(۱	The ball of declaration is objected to by the	ie Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action of form F10-132.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur  2. Certified copies of the priority docur  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bushee the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have bee ments have bee priority docume ureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)				atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/608,613 Page 2

Art Unit: 2829

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-28, drawn to a system for testing a first integrated circuit chip to be packaged along with at least a second integrated circuit chip in a semiconductor, classified in class 324, subclass 765.
  - II. Claims 29-32, drawn to an integrated circuit chip, classified in class 324, subclass 158.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination such as the SET, LOAD, and TEST signals are used to transition the first integrated circuit chip from normal operation into the test mode and to enable test codes to be loaded into the first integrated circuit during a programming phase of the test mode; the TDQ signals are used to load test codes into the first integrated circuit during the programming phase and to read/write data to and from the first integrated circuit during an access phase of the test mode. The subcombination has separate utility such as a first set of bonding pads located along a first side of the

Application/Control Number: 10/608,613 Page 3

Art Unit: 2829

integrated circuit chip and a second set of bonding pads located along a second side of the integrated circuit chip, thereby reducing the need for connections integral to the integrated circuit chip for routing the same plurality of respective signals from the first side to the second side of the integrated circuit chip.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

If applicant elected the group I (claims 1-28), the following election of species is required:

- a. The species I: claims related to the paragraph 4 on page 2;
- b. The species II: claims related to the paragraph 5 of page 2; and
- c. The species III: claims related to the paragraph 6 of page 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,613

Art Unit: 2829

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, It appears that no claim is generic

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/608,613 Page 5

Art Unit: 2829

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung X Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1967. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571) 272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TN 8/05/04

Dobert Pascal
Superdoury Patent Exeminar
Technology Conder 2500